

REMARKS

The amendments requested above are believed to overcome the objections stated in the first two paragraphs of Section 2 of the Official action. The objection stated in the third paragraph is respectfully traversed. The applicant knows of no prohibition against even a verbatim recitation of a claim in the specification, much less a prohibition against a narrative description of the invention which uses claim language.

The foregoing amendments are also believed to avoid the claim objections stated in Section 3 of the Official action and the claim rejections stated in Section 5.

The rejection (Section 7 of the Official action), 35 U.S.C. 103(a), of claims as unpatentable over "Stoler" (U.S. patent No. 1,558,126, October 20, 1925) in view of "Yamada" (U.S. patent 3,894,777), insofar as it applies to claims 1 and 4-9, is respectfully traversed. The Official action, in construing Stoler and Yamada, makes the statements quoted in the table on the following page of this response. (Stoler Figs. 1 and 2 are reproduced below, because they are believed to help in evaluating the assertions which are quoted in the table).

